arXiv:1207.5121v1 [math.DG] 21 Jul 2012

Axiomatic Differential Geometry II-2
-Its Developments-
Chapter 2 ;Differential Forms

Hirokazu Nishimura
Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8571, JAPAN

July 24, 2012

Abstract

We refurbish our axiomatics of differential geometry introduced in [arXiv 1203.3911]. Then the notion of Euclideaness can naturally be formulated. The principal objective in this paper is to present an adaptation of our theory of differential forms developed in [International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 64 (2010), 85-102] to our present axiomatic framework.

1 Introduction

The principal objective in this paper is to replicate our treatment of differential forms in [8] in the context of our axiomatics on differential geometry in [9]. Trying to achieve this goal, we have realized that our axiomatics there is somewhat fragile. Therefore, we were forced to refurbish the axiomatics. The main improvement is that prolongations of spaces with respect to Weil algebras can directly be generalized to those with respect to finitely presented algebras. As is well known, the prolongation of a space with respect to the Weil algebra $k[X]/\left(X^2\right)$ (the Weil algebra corresponding to first-order infinitesimals) is its tangent bundle. Similarly, the prolongation of a space with respect to the polynomial algebra $k[X_1,...,X_n]$, which is not a Weil algebra but surely a finitely presented algebra, is simply the exponentiation of the space by $\mathbb{R}^n$. Thus the secondary objective in this paper is to improve our axiomatics, to which Section 2 is devoted. In particular, the theorem established in [10] that the tangent space is a module over k, which is external to the category $\mathcal{K}$, is enhanced to the theorem that the tangent space is a module over $\mathbb{R}$, which is an object in $\mathcal{K}$.

Section 3 is concerned with Euclidean modules. Our new axiomatics of differential geometry enables us to formulate the notion of Euclideaness properly, in which cartesian closedness and prolongations with respect to polynomial algebras will play a crucial role. In orthodox differential geometry and its extensions to infinite-differential geometry, we first study the category of linear spaces of some kind and smooth mappings, say, the category of Hilbert spaces, that of Banach spaces, that of Fréchet spaces, that of Frölicher spaces and so on. We then study the category of manifolds modeled locally after such linear spaces. Our approach moves in the sheer opposite direction. We first establish the general theory of microlinear spaces. The theory of Euclean modules is obtained as a special case of this general theory.

Sections 4 and 5 are merely an adaptation of our treatment of differential forms in [10] to our present axiomatic framework. Section 4 is devoted to a unique characterization of differential forms, which could be called the fundamental theorem on differential forms. The characterization and existence of exterior differentiation, which is discussed in Section 5, is an easy consequence of this fundamental theorem.

2 Refurbishing our Axiomatics

2.1 The Refurbishment

Let k be a commutative ring. We denote by $\mathbb{T}_k$ the algebraic theory of k-algebras in the sense of Lawvere. We denote by $\mathbf{FP}\mathbb{T}_k$ the category of finitely presented k-algebras. It is well known that Weil algebras over k are finitely presented k-algebras. We denote by $\mathbf{Weil}_k$ the category of Weil k-algebras, which is well known to be left exact. In particular, its terminal object is k itself. A finitely presented k-algebra A is called *pointed* if it has a unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ such that the composition of the canonical morphism

$$k \to A$$

and the canonical projection

$$A \to A/\mathfrak{m}$$

is an isomorphism. We denote by $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$ the category of pointed finitely presented k-algebras. Not only Weil k-algebras but also polynomial k-algebras $k[X_1, ..., X_n]$ lie in $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$. Given a left exact category $\mathcal{K}$ and a k-algebra object $\mathbb{R}$ in $\mathcal{K}$, there is a canonical functor $\mathbb{R}\underline{\otimes}\cdot$ (denoted by $\mathbb{R} \otimes \cdot$ in [3]) from the category $\mathbf{Weil}_k$ to the category of k-algebra objects and their homomorphisms in $\mathcal{K}$.

Definition 1 *(DG-category) The present refinement of our original axiomatics in [9] is that we allow not only Weil prolongations but also finitely presented prolongations. Therefore, given a finitely presented k-algebra A, we are endowed with a left exact functor $\mathbf{T}^A : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}$ preserving cartesian closed structures in the sense that we have*

$$\mathbf{T}^A \left(X^Y\right) = \left(\mathbf{T}^A X\right)^Y \tag{1}$$

for any objects X and Y in $\mathcal{K}$. For any freely generated k-algebra $A = k[X_1, ..., X_n]$ over n generaters $X_1, ..., X_n$, $\mathbf{T}^A = \mathbf{T}^{k[X_1,...,X_n]}$ is required to be simply the exponentiation by $\mathbb{R}^n$, so that we have

$$\mathbf{T}^{k[X_1,...,X_n]}X = X^{\mathbb{R}^n} \tag{2}$$

for any object X in $\mathcal{K}$. In particular, when $n = 0$, we have

$$\mathbf{T}^k X = X$$

Given a finitely presented k-algebra A, it is required that

$$\mathbf{T}^A\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}\underline{\otimes}A \tag{3}$$

Given two finitely presented k-algebra A and B, it is required that

$$\mathbf{T}^B \circ \mathbf{T}^A = \mathbf{T}^{A\otimes_k B} \tag{4}$$

Given a morphism $\varphi : A \to B$ in $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$, we have a natural transformation

$$\alpha_\varphi : \mathbf{T}^A \Rightarrow \mathbf{T}^B$$

which respects cartesian closed structures, so that we have

$$\alpha_\varphi\left(X^Y\right) = \left(\alpha_\varphi\left(X\right)\right)^Y \tag{5}$$

for any objects X and Y in $\mathcal{K}$. It is also required to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_\varphi\left(\mathbf{T}^C X\right) = \mathbf{T}^C\left(\alpha_\varphi\left(X\right)\right) : \mathbf{T}^A\mathbf{T}^C X = \mathbf{T}^{C\otimes_k A}X = \mathbf{T}^{A\otimes_k C}X = \mathbf{T}^C\mathbf{T}^A X \\ \to \mathbf{T}^C\mathbf{T}^B X = \mathbf{T}^{B\otimes_k C}X = \mathbf{T}^{C\otimes_k B}X = \mathbf{T}^B\mathbf{T}^C X\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

for any object C in the category $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$. Given two morphisms $\varphi : A \to B$ and $\psi : B \to C$ in $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$, it is required that

$$\alpha_\psi \circ \alpha_\varphi = \alpha_{\psi\circ\varphi} \tag{7}$$

Given any identity morphism $\mathrm{id}_A : A \to A$ in $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$, it is required that

$$\alpha_{\mathrm{id}_A} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{T}^A} \tag{8}$$

Given a morphism $\varphi : A \to B$ in $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$, it is required that

$$\alpha_\varphi\left(\mathbb{R}\right) = \mathbb{R}\underline{\otimes}\varphi \tag{9}$$

Thus our new definition of a DG-category is a quadruple

$$\left(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{T}, \alpha\right)$$

where

1. *$\mathcal{K}$ is a category which is left exact and cartesian closed.*

2. *$\mathbb{R}$ is a commutative k-algebra object in $\mathcal{K}$.*

3. *Given an object A in $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$, $\mathbf{T}^A : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is a left-exact and cartesian-closed structure-preserving functor.*

4. *Given a morphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$, $\alpha_\varphi : \mathbf{T}^A \Rightarrow \mathbf{T}^B$ is a natural transformation.*

5. *The quadruple $(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{T}, \alpha)$ is required to satisfy the above formulas as axioms.*

Remark 2 *As in we have a bifunctor*

$$\otimes : \mathcal{K} \times \mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$$

with

$$X \otimes A = \mathbf{T}^A X$$

for any object X in $\mathcal{K}$ and any object A in $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & f \otimes \varphi \\ & = \alpha_\varphi (Y) \circ \mathbf{T}^A f \\ & = \mathbf{T}^B f \circ \alpha_\varphi (X) \end{aligned}$$

for any morphism

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

in $\mathcal{K}$ and any morphism

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow B$$

in $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$.

Remark 3 *Given an object A in $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$ and an object X in $\mathcal{K}$, we write*

$$\tau_A (X) : \mathbf{T}^A X \rightarrow X$$

and

$$\iota_A (X) : X \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^A X$$

for

$$\alpha_{A \rightarrow k} (X) : \mathbf{T}^A X \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^k X = X$$

and

$$\alpha_{k \rightarrow A} (X) : X = \mathbf{T}^k X \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^A X$$

respectively, where $A \rightarrow k$ and $k \rightarrow A$ are the canonical morphisms in $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$.

It is easy to see that

Proposition 4 *Let $(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{T}, \alpha)$ be a DG-category with the category $\mathcal{K}$ being locally cartesian closed and M an object in $\mathcal{K}$. Then $\left(\mathcal{K}/M, \mathbb{R}_M, \mathbf{T}_M, \alpha^M\right)$ is a DG-category but for conditions (1) and (5), where*

1. *$\mathcal{K}/M$ is the slice category.*
2. *$\mathbb{R}_M$ is the canonical projection*
$$\mathbb{R}\times M\rightarrow M$$
3. *Given an object*
$$\pi:E\rightarrow M$$
in $\mathcal{K}$ and an object A in $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$, $\mathbf{T}_M^A\left(\pi\right)$ is defined to be
$$\overline{\mathbf{T}}_M^A\left(\pi\right)\rightarrow M \tag{10}$$
where $\overline{\mathbf{T}}_M^A\left(\pi\right)$ is obtained as the equalizer of
$$\mathbf{T}^A\pi:\mathbf{T}^AE\rightarrow\mathbf{T}^AM$$
and
$$\mathbf{T}^AE\xrightarrow{\tau_A\left(E\right)}E\xrightarrow{\pi}M\xrightarrow{\iota_A\left(M\right)}\mathbf{T}^AM$$
and (10) is
$$\overline{\mathbf{T}}_M^A\left(\pi\right)\rightarrow\mathbf{T}^AE\xrightarrow{\tau_A\left(E\right)}E\xrightarrow{\pi}M$$
4. *Let $\varphi:A\rightarrow B$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$. Since the diagrams*
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{T}^AE \arrow[r, "\alpha_\varphi\left(E\right)"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{T}^A\pi"'] & \mathbf{T}^BE \arrow[d, "\mathbf{T}^B\pi"] \\
\mathbf{T}^AM \arrow[r, "\alpha_\varphi\left(M\right)"'] & \mathbf{T}^BM
\end{tikzcd}$$
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{T}^AE \arrow[rr, "\alpha_\varphi\left(E\right)"] \arrow[dr, "\tau_A\left(E\right)"'] & & \mathbf{T}^BE \arrow[dl, "\tau_B\left(E\right)"] \\
& E \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & \\
& M \arrow[dl, "\iota_A\left(M\right)"'] \arrow[dr, "\iota_B\left(M\right)"] & \\
\mathbf{T}^AM \arrow[rr, "\alpha_\varphi\left(M\right)"'] & & \mathbf{T}^BM
\end{tikzcd}$$
commute, there is a unique morphism
$$\alpha_\varphi^M\left(\pi\right):\overline{\mathbf{T}}_M^A\left(\pi\right)\rightarrow\overline{\mathbf{T}}_M^B\left(\pi\right)$$
in $\mathcal{K}$ such that the diagram
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\mathbf{T}}_M^A\left(\pi\right) \arrow[r, "\alpha_\varphi^M\left(\pi\right)"] \arrow[d] & \overline{\mathbf{T}}_M^B\left(\pi\right) \arrow[d] \\
\mathbf{T}^AE \arrow[r, "\alpha_\varphi\left(E\right)"'] & \mathbf{T}^BE
\end{tikzcd}$$
commutes.

Definition 5 *(Local DG-category) A DG-category* $(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{T}, \alpha)$ *is called a* *local DG-category* *if* $\mathcal{K}$ *is locally cartesian closed and* $\left(\mathcal{K}/M, \mathbb{R}_M, \mathbf{T}_M, \alpha^M\right)$ *is a DG-category for any object* M *in* $\mathcal{K}$*.*

Remark 6 *The notion of microlinearity and that of Weil exponentiability remain the same as those in [9].*

In the following, we will consider an arbitrarily chosen local DG-category $(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{T}, \alpha)$ with M being a microlinear and Weil exponentiable object in $\mathcal{K}$.

2.2 The Duality

We have already explained the duality between the category of Weil algebras in the real world and the category of infinitesimal objects in the imaginary world. Namely, we have a contravariant functor $\mathcal{D}$ from the category of Weil algebras to the category of infinitesimal objects and a contravariant functor $\mathcal{W}$ from the category of infinitesimal objects to the category of Weil algebras, both of which constitute a dual equivalence between the two categories. By way of example, $\mathcal{D}_{k[X]/(X^2)}$ is intended for

$$D = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x^2 = 0\right\}$$

while $\mathcal{D}_{k[X,Y]/(X^2,Y^2,XY)}$ is intended for

$$D(2) = \{(x, y) \in D \times D \mid xy = 0\}$$

Therefore we have

$$\mathcal{W}_D = k\left[X\right] / \left(X^2\right)$$
$$\mathcal{W}_{D(2)} = k\left[X, Y\right] / \left(X^2, Y^2, XY\right)$$

Similarly

$$\mathcal{W}_{d \in D \mapsto (d,0) \in D(2)}$$

stands for the homomorphism of k-algebras from $k\left[X, Y\right] / \left(X^2, Y^2, XY\right)$ to $k\left[X\right] / \left(X^2\right)$ assigning the equivalence class of X in $k\left[X\right] / \left(X^2\right)$ to the equivalence class of X in $\left[X, Y\right] / \left(X^2, Y^2, XY\right)$ and assigning the equivalence class of 0 in $k\left[X\right] / \left(X^2\right)$ to the equivalence class of Y in $\left[X, Y\right] / \left(X^2, Y^2, XY\right)$.

We can extend contravariant functors $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ so as to yield a dual equivalence between the category $\mathbf{PFP}\mathbb{T}_k$ and the category of (real or imaginary) carved spaces standing for genuinely formal $\mathrm{Spec}_{\mathbb{R}}$. By way of example, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{k[Z_1,Z_2]} = \mathbb{R}^2$$
$$\mathcal{D}_{k[Z_1,Z_2,X,Y]/(X^2,Y^2,XY)} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times D(2)$$

while

$$\mathcal{W}_{d \in D \mapsto d \in \mathbb{R}}$$

stands for the canonical projection

$$k[X] \to k[X]/(X^2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_{(r_1,r_2,d_1,d_2)\in\mathbb{R}^2\times D(2)\mapsto r_1d_1+r_2d_2\in D}$$

stands for the homomorphism of k-algebras from $k\left[X\right]/\left(X^2\right)$ to $k\left[Z_1,Z_2,X,Y\right]/\left(X^2,Y^2,XY\right)$ assigning the equivalence class of Z_1X+Z_2Y in $k\left[Z_1,Z_2,X,Y\right]/\left(X^2,Y^2,XY\right)$ to the equivalence class of X in $k\left[X\right]/\left(X^2\right)$.

2.3 The Tangent Space

Definition 7 *(Scalar Multiplication) The exponential transpose of the scalar multiplication*

$$\mathbb{R}\times(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_D)\to M\otimes\mathcal{W}_D$$

is

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{id}_M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{(r,d)\in\mathbb{R}\times D\mapsto rd\in D}:M\otimes\mathcal{W}_D\to M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}\times D}=M\otimes(\mathcal{W}_D\otimes_k\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}})=\\&\qquad(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_D)\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}=(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_D)^{\mathbb{R}}\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 8 *The canonical projection*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{W}_D}\left(M\right):M\otimes\mathcal{W}_D\to M$$

is an $\mathbb{R}_M$-module in the slice category $\mathcal{K}/M$, where $\mathbb{R}_M$ is the canonical projection

$$\mathbb{R}\times M\to M$$

Proof. Here we deal only with the statement that the scalar multiplication distributes over the addition, for which we have to verify that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}\mathbb{R}\times\left(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D(2)}\right) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{R}\times(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_D) \arrow[d] \\ M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D(2)} \arrow[r] & M\otimes\mathcal{W}_D\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, where the horizontal arrows stand for addition, while the vertical arrows correspond to scalar multiplication. This follows easily from the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D(2)} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto(d,d)\in D(2)}"] \arrow[d] & M\otimes\mathcal{W}_D \arrow[d] \\ M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}\times D(2)} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{(r,d)\in\mathbb{R}\times D\mapsto(r,d,d)\in\mathbb{R}\times D(2)}"'] & M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}\times D}\end{tikzcd}$$

where the left vertical arrow is

$$\mathrm{id}_M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{(r,d_1,d_2)\in\mathbb{R}\times D\mapsto(rd_1,rd_2)\in D}$$

while the right vertical arrow is

$$\mathrm{id}_M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{(r,d)\in\mathbb{R}\times D\mapsto rd\in D}$$

■

3 Euclidean Modules

An $\mathbb{R}$-module in $\mathcal{K}$ is an object $\mathbb{E}$ in $\mathcal{K}$ endowed with a morphism

$$+_{\mathbb{E}}:\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\rightarrow\mathbb{E}$$

intended for addition, and a morphism

$$\cdot_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{E}}:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{E}\rightarrow\mathbb{E}$$

intended for scalar multiplication, which are surely subject to the usual axioms of an $\mathbb{R}$-module depicted diagrammatically. Equivalently, an $\mathbb{R}$-module structure on an object $\mathbb{E}$ in $\mathcal{K}$ can be given by a single morphism

$$\varphi:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\rightarrow\mathbb{E}$$

intended for the morphism

$$\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\xrightarrow{\cdot_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{E}}\times\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}}}\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\xrightarrow{+_{\mathbb{E}}}\mathbb{E}$$

which is surely subject to some axioms depicted diagrammatically.

Definition 9 *(Euclidean $\mathbb{R}$-module) An $\mathbb{R}$-module$\mathbb{E}$ is called Euclidean provided that the composition of the exponential transpose*

$$\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\rightarrow\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}} \tag{11}$$

of

$$\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}=\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{E}\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}}\times\cdot_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{E}}}\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\xrightarrow{+_{\mathbb{E}}}\mathbb{E} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto d\in\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{E}):\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}}=\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}\rightarrow\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D} \tag{13}$$

in succession is an isomorphism.

It should be obvious that

Lemma 10 *The $\mathbb{R}$-module structure of $\mathbb{E}$ naturally gives rise to that of $\mathbb{E}^X$ for any object X in $\mathcal{K}$ in the sense that the exponential transpose*

$$\widetilde{\varphi}:\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\rightarrow\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}}$$

of the $\mathbb{R}$-module structure

$$\varphi:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\rightarrow\mathbb{E}$$

on $\mathbb{E}$ induces a mapping

$$(\widetilde{\varphi})^X:\mathbb{E}^X\times\mathbb{E}^X=(\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E})^X\rightarrow\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}}\right)^X=\left(\mathbb{E}^X\right)^{\mathbb{R}},$$

which is the exponential transpose of the derived $\mathbb{R}$-module structure

$$\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{E}^X\times\mathbb{E}^X\rightarrow\mathbb{E}^X$$

on $\mathbb{E}^X$.

Proposition 11 *If $\mathbb{E}$ is a Euclidean $\mathbb{R}$-module, then so is $\mathbb{E}^X$ for any object X in $\mathcal{K}$.*

Proof. We use the same notation as in Lemma 10. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto d\in\mathbb{R}}}\left(\mathbb{E}^X\right) = \left(\alpha_{\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto d\in\mathbb{R}}}\left(\mathbb{E}\right)\right)^X : \left(\mathbb{E}^X\right)^{\mathbb{R}} = \left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}}\right)^X = \left(\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}\right)^X \to \\ \left(\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_D\right)^X = \mathbb{E}^X\otimes\mathcal{W}_D\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left(\alpha_{\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto d\in\mathbb{R}}}\left(\mathbb{E}\right)\right)^X \circ \left(\widetilde{\varphi}\right)^X = \left(\alpha_{\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto d\in\mathbb{R}}}\left(\mathbb{E}\right)\circ\widetilde{\varphi}\right)^X$$

we are sure that $\mathbb{E}^X$ is a Euclidean $\mathbb{R}$-module. ■

It should be evident that

Lemma 12 *The $\mathbb{R}$-module structure of $\mathbb{E}$ naturally gives rise to that of $\mathbb{E}\otimes W$ for any Weil algebra W in the sense that the exponential transpose*

$$\widetilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\to\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}}$$

of the $\mathbb{R}$-module structure

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\to\mathbb{E}$$

on $\mathbb{E}$ induces a mapping

$$\widetilde{\varphi}\otimes\mathrm{id}_W : \left(\mathbb{E}\otimes W\right)\times\left(\mathbb{E}\otimes W\right) = \left(\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\right)\otimes W \to \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}}\otimes W = \left(\mathbb{E}\otimes W\right)^{\mathbb{R}},$$

which is the exponential transpose of the derived $\mathbb{R}$-module structure

$$\mathbb{R}\times\left(\mathbb{E}\otimes W\right)\times\left(\mathbb{E}\otimes W\right)\to\mathbb{E}\otimes W$$

on $\mathbb{E}\otimes W$.

Proposition 13 *If $\mathbb{E}$ is a Euclidean $\mathbb{R}$-module, then so is $\mathbb{E}\otimes W$ for any Weil algebra W.*

Proof. We use the same notation as in Lemma 12. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto d\in\mathbb{R}}}\left(\mathbb{E}\otimes W\right) = \alpha_{\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto d\in\mathbb{R}}}\left(\mathbb{E}\right)\otimes\mathrm{id}_W : \left(\mathbb{E}\otimes W\right)^{\mathbb{R}} &= \left(\mathbb{E}\otimes W\right)\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \\ &= \left(\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}\right)\otimes W \to \left(\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_D\right)\otimes W = \left(\mathbb{E}\otimes W\right)\otimes\mathcal{W}_D\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left(\alpha_{\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto d\in\mathbb{R}}}\left(\mathbb{E}\right)\otimes\mathrm{id}_W\right)\circ\left(\widetilde{\varphi}\otimes\mathrm{id}_W\right) = \left(\alpha_{\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto d\in\mathbb{R}}}\left(\mathbb{E}\right)\circ\widetilde{\varphi}\right)\otimes\mathrm{id}_W$$

we are sure that $\mathbb{E}\otimes W$ is a Euclidean $\mathbb{R}$-module. ■

Remark 14 *If $\mathbb{E}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-module, then the first projection*

$$\pi_1 : \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{E}$$

is naturally an $\mathbb{R}$-module in the slice category $\mathcal{K}/\mathbb{E}$.

Proposition 15 *If $\mathbb{E}$ is a Euclidean $\mathbb{R}$-module, then the identification of $\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathcal{W}_D$ and $\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E}$ in Definition 9 together with the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \arrow[r, phantom, "="] \arrow[dr, "\pi_1"'] & \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathcal{W}_D \arrow[d, "\tau_{\mathcal{W}_D}(\mathbb{E})"] \\
& \mathbb{E}
\end{tikzcd}$$

allows us to identify the $\mathbb{R}_M$-module structure in Theorem 8 and that in Remark 14.

Proof.

1. First we deal with addition. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \otimes W_{D(2)} &= (\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathcal{W}_D) \times_M (\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathcal{W}_D) \\
&= \left(\underset{1}{\mathbb{E}} \times \underset{2}{\mathbb{E}} \right) \times_M \left(\underset{3}{\mathbb{E}} \times \underset{4}{\mathbb{E}} \right) \\
&= \underset{1,3}{\mathbb{E}} \times \underset{2}{\mathbb{E}} \times \underset{4}{\mathbb{E}} \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

where the numbers under $\mathbb{E}$ are given simply so as for the reader to relate each occurrence of $\mathbb{E}$ on the last line to the appropriate occurrence of $\mathbb{E}$ on the previous line. This isomorphism can be realized by the composition of the exponential transpose

$$\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \qquad (15)$$

of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\underset{1}{\mathbb{R}} \times \underset{2}{\mathbb{R}} \times \underset{3}{\mathbb{E}} \times \underset{4}{\mathbb{E}} \times \underset{5}{\mathbb{E}} = \underset{3}{\mathbb{E}} \times \underset{1}{\mathbb{R}} \times \underset{4}{\mathbb{E}} \times \underset{2}{\mathbb{R}} \times \underset{5}{\mathbb{E}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}} \times \cdot_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{E}} \times \cdot_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{E}}} \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \\
&\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}} \times +_{\mathbb{E}}} \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{+_{\mathbb{E}}} \mathbb{E} \qquad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{W}_{(d_1,d_2) \in D(2) \mapsto (d_1,d_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{E}) : \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(2)} \qquad (17)$$

in succession, where the numbers under $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{E}$ are intended for the reader to easily relate their occurrences on the first line to those on the second line. Therefore, the commutativity of the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}} \times +_{\mathbb{E}}"'] & \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{r \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (r,r) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}}"] \\
\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{r\in\mathbb{R}\mapsto(r,r)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}}"'] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{(d_1,d_2)\in D(2)\mapsto(d_1,d_2)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}}"] & \mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D(2)} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto(d,d)\in D(2)}"] \\
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto d\in\mathbb{R}}"'] & \mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the morphism

$$\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\rightarrow\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}}$$

is that in (15) while the morphism

$$\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\rightarrow\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}}$$

is that in (11), implies the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E} \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}}\times +_{\mathbb{E}}"'] & \mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D(2)} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto(d,d)\in D(2)}"] \\
\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E} \arrow[r, equal] & \mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D}
\end{tikzcd}$$

This is no other than the gist of the desired statement.

2. Now we deal with scalar multiplication. The commutativity of the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{(r_1,r_2)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\mapsto r_1r_2\in\mathbb{R}}"] \\
(\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E})^{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{(r_1,r_2)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\mapsto r_1r_2\in\mathbb{R}}"'] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto d\in\mathbb{R}}"] & \mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{(d,r)\in D\times\mathbb{R}\mapsto dr\in D}"] \\
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{(d,r)\in D\times\mathbb{R}\mapsto(d,r)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}}"'] & \mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D\times\mathbb{R}} = (\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D})^{\mathbb{R}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the left vertical arrow in the first diagram

$$\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\rightarrow(\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E})^{\mathbb{R}}$$

is the exponential transpose of

$$\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{E}\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}}\times\cdot_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{E}}}\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E} \tag{18}$$

the upper horizontal arrow

$$\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}\rightarrow\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}}$$

in the first diagram is that in (11), and the lower horizontal arrow

$$(\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E})^{\mathbb{R}}\rightarrow\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}}$$

in the first diagram is that in (11) exponentiated by $\mathbb{R}$, implies the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E} \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D} \arrow[d] \\
(\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E})^{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r, equal] & (\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D})^{\mathbb{R}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is the exponential transpose of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E} \arrow[r, phantom, "="] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{R}\times(\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_D) \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E} \arrow[r, phantom, "="] & \mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_D
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the left vertical arrow is that in (18), and the right vertical arrow is the scalar multiplication in Definition 7.This is no other than the gist of the desired statement.

■

It should be obvious that

Lemma 16 *The following diagram is a limit diagram in the category* $\mathbf{Weil}_k$*.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{W}_{D(2)} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{W}_{(d_1,d_2)\in D^2\mapsto(d_1,d_1d_2)\in D(2)}"] & \mathcal{W}_{D^2} \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto(0,0)\in D^2}"] \arrow[r, shift right, "\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto(0,d)\in D^2}"'] & \mathcal{W}_D
\end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem 17 *The* $\mathbb{R}_M$*-module*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{W}_D}(M) : M\otimes\mathcal{W}_D\to M$$

is Euclidean with respect to the DG-category $\left(\mathcal{K}/M,\mathbb{R}_M,\mathbf{T}_M,\alpha^M\right)$.

Proof. By Lemma 16 we have the limit diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D(2)} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{(d_1,d_2)\in D^2\mapsto(d_1,d_1d_2)\in D(2)}"] & M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^2} \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathrm{id}_M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto(0,0)\in D^2}"] \arrow[r, shift right, "\mathrm{id}_M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{d\in D\mapsto(0,d)\in D^2}"'] & M\otimes\mathcal{W}_D
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore we have

$$M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D(2)}=\overline{\mathbf{T}}_M^{\mathcal{W}_D}\left(\tau_{\mathcal{W}_D}(M)\right)$$

while we have

$$M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D(2)}=(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_D)\times_M(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_D)$$

Therefore the desired conclusion follows. ■

n

4 Differential Forms

Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a Euclidean $\mathbb{R}$-module which is microlinear and Weil exponentiable.

Definition 18 *(Differential Forms with values in* $\mathbb{E}$*) We denote by* $\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})$ *the intersection of all the following equalizers:*

1. *the equalizer of the exponential transpose*

   $$\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}} \to \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}\times(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})}$$

   of the composition of

   $$\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}} \times (\mathbb{R}\times(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}} \times \binom{\cdot}{i}^{\mathbb{R}}_{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}} \mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}} \times (M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})$$

   and

   $$\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}} \times (M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} \mathbb{E}$$

   in succession and the exponential transpose

   $$\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}} \to \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{R}\times(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})}$$

   of the composition of

   $$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}} \times (\mathbb{R}\times(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}))\\ &= \mathbb{R}\times\left(\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}} \times (M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}}\times\mathrm{ev}} \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{E}\end{aligned}$$

   and the scalar multiplication

   $$\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\cdot^{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathbb{E}}} \mathbb{E}$$

   in succession, where the exponential transpose of

   $$\binom{\cdot}{i}^{\mathbb{R}}_{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}} : \mathbb{R}\times(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}) \to M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}$$

   is

   $$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{\mathcal{W}_{(a,d_1,\ldots,d_n)\in\mathbb{R}\times D^n\to(d_1,\ldots,ad_i,\ldots,d_n)\in D^n}}(M) : M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n} &\to (M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})^{\mathbb{R}} = (M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}\\ &= M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n\times\mathbb{R}} = M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}\times D^n}\end{aligned}$$

2. *the equalizer of the exponential transpose*

   $$\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}} \to \mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}$$

   of the composition of

   $$\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}} \times (M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}} \times (\cdot^{\sigma})_{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}} \mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}} \times (M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})$$

   and

   $$\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}} \times (M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} \mathbb{E}$$

   in succession and the exponential transpose

   $$\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}} \to \mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}$$

of the composition of

$$\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}\times\left(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}\right)\underrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}}\,\mathbb{E}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\underrightarrow{\left(\epsilon_\sigma\right)_{\mathbb{E}}}\mathbb{E}$$

in succession, where σ *is a permutation of* $\{1,...,n\}$, ϵ_σ *is the signature of* σ, $\left(\epsilon_\sigma\right)_{\mathbb{E}}$ *is the scalar multiplication by* ϵ_σ, *and*

$$\left(\cdot^\sigma\right)_{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}:M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}\to M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}$$

is

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{W}_{(d_1,\ldots,d_n)\in D^n\to\left(d_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,d_{\sigma(n)}\right)\in D^n}}(M)$$

Definition 19 *(Infinitesimal Integration of Differential Forms) We define a morphism*

$$\int_{M,\mathbb{E}}^n:\left(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}\right)\times\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})\to\mathbb{E}$$

in $\mathcal{K}$ *to be the composition of*

$$\mathrm{id}_{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}\times i_{\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})\to\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}}:\left(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}\right)\times\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})\to\left(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}\right)\times\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}$$

and

$$\mathrm{ev}:\left(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}\right)\times\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}\to\mathbb{E}$$

in succession, where

$$i_{\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})\to\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}}:\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})\to\mathbb{E}^{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}$$

is the canonical morphism.

It is easy to see that

Proposition 20 *The morphism*

$$\int_{M,\mathbb{E}}^n:\left(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}\right)\times\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})\to\mathbb{E}$$

satisfies the following properties:

1. *The composition of morphisms*

   $$\left(\underset{i}{\cdot}\right)_{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}^{\mathbb{R}}\times\mathrm{id}_{\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})}:\mathbb{R}\times\left(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}\right)\times\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})\to\left(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}\right)\times\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})$$

   and

   $$\int_{M,\mathbb{E}}^n:\left(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}\right)\times\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})\to\mathbb{E}$$

in succession is equal to the composition of morphisms

$$\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}}\times\int_{M,\mathbb{E}}^{n}:\mathbb{R}\times(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})\times\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})\to\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{E}$$

and

$$\cdot_{\mathbb{E}}^{\mathbb{R}}:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{E}\to\mathbb{E}$$

in succession.

2. *The composition of morphisms*

$$(\cdot^{\sigma})_{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}\times\mathrm{id}_{\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})}:(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})\times\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})\to(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})\times\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})$$

and

$$\int_{M,\mathbb{E}}^{n}:(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})\times\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})\to\mathbb{E}$$

in succession is equal to the composition of morphisms

$$\int_{M,\mathbb{E}}^{n}:(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})\times\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})\to\mathbb{E}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\xrightarrow{(\epsilon_{\sigma})_{\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}}\mathbb{E}$$

in succession.

Somewhat surprisingly, we have

Theorem 21 *(The Fundamental Theorem on Differential Forms) Given an object X in $\mathcal{K}$ and a morphism*

$$\varphi:(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})\times X\to\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}, \tag{19}$$

if the morphism (19) satisfies the two conditions in the above proposition with $\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})$ replaced by X, then there exists a unique morphism

$$\widehat{\varphi}:X\to\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})$$

such that φ is equal to the composition of morphisms

$$\mathrm{id}_{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n}}\times\widehat{\varphi}:(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})\times X\to(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})\times\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})$$

and

$$\int_{M,\mathbb{E}}^{n}:(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^n})\times\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})\to\mathbb{E}$$

in succession.

Proof. Take the exponential transpose

$$\widetilde{\varphi} : X \to \left(\mathbb{E} \otimes W_{D^n}\right)^{M \otimes W_{D^n}}$$

of (19), which factors, by the two conditions on φ, into a morphism

$$\widehat{\varphi} : X \to \Omega^n(M; \mathbb{E})$$

followed by the canonical monomorphism

$$\Omega^n(M; \mathbb{E}) \to \mathbb{E}^{M \otimes W_{D^n}}$$

■

5 The Exterior Differentiation

Definition 22 *Given natural numbers n, i with $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, we define a morphism*

$$\left(\int_{M,\mathbb{E}}^{n}\right)_i : (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^{n+1}}) \times \Omega^n(M; \mathbb{E}) \to \mathbb{E}$$

in $\mathcal{K}$ to be

$$(M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^{n+1}}) \times \Omega^n(M; \mathbb{E}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_{(d_1,\ldots,d_{n+1}) \in D^{n+1} \mapsto (d_1,\ldots,d_{i-1},d_{i+1},\ldots,d_{n+1},d_i) \in D^{n+1}} \times \mathrm{id}_{\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})}}$$

$$(M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^{n+1}}) \times \Omega^n(M; \mathbb{E})$$

$$= (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^{n+1}}) \times (\Omega^n(M; \mathbb{E}) \otimes k)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^{n+1}}} \times \left(\mathrm{id}_{\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})} \otimes \left(k \to k[X]/\left(X^2\right) = \mathcal{W}_D\right)\right)}$$

$$(M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^{n+1}}) \times (\Omega^n(M; \mathbb{E}) \otimes \mathcal{W}_D)$$

$$= ((M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^n}) \otimes \mathcal{W}_D) \times (\Omega^n(M; \mathbb{E}) \otimes \mathcal{W}_D)$$

$$= ((M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^n}) \times \Omega^n(M; \mathbb{E})) \otimes \mathcal{W}_D \xrightarrow{\int_{M,\mathbb{E}}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{W}_D}} \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathcal{W}_D = \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{E}$$

where the last morphism

$$\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{E}$$

is the second projection, and

$$k \to k[X]/\left(X^2\right) = \mathcal{W}_D$$

is the canonical morphism.

Theorem 23 *There exists a unqiue morphism*

$$\mathbf{d}_n : \Omega^n(M; \mathbb{E}) \to \Omega^{n+1}(M; \mathbb{E})$$

in $\mathcal{K}$ such that the the composition of morphisms

$$\mathrm{id}_{M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^{n+1}}}\times\mathbf{d}_n:(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^{n+1}})\times\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})\rightarrow(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^{n+1}})\times\Omega^{n+1}(M;\mathbb{E})$$

and

$$\int_{M,\mathbb{E}}^{n+1}:(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^{n+1}})\times\Omega^{n+1}(M;\mathbb{E})\rightarrow\mathbb{E}$$

is equal to the morphism

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}(-1)^{i+1}\left(\int_{M,\mathbb{E}}^{n}\right)_i:(M\otimes\mathcal{W}_{D^{n+1}})\times\Omega^n(M;\mathbb{E})\rightarrow\mathbb{E}$$

Proof. The replication of our arguments in Section 5 of [10] within our present axiomatic framework is safely left to the reader. The theorem follows easily from Theorem 21. ■

References

[1] Jacobs, Bart:Categorical Logic and Type Theory, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1999.

[2] Johnstone, Peter T.:Sketches of an Elephant; a Topos Theory Compendium, Vols. 1 & 2, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.

[3] Kock, Anders:Synthetic Differential Geometry, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[4] Kolář, Ivan, Michor, Peter W. and Slovák, Jan: Natural Operations in Differential Geometry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and Heidelberg, 1993.

[5] Kriegl, Andreas and Michor, Peter W.: The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1997.

[6] Lavendhomme, René:Basic Concepts of Synthetic Differential Geometry, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Amsterdam, 1996.

[7] Nishimura, Hirokazu:Differential geometry of microlinear Frölicher spaces I, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 64 (2010), 43-83.

[8] Nishimura, Hirokazu:Differential geometry of microlinear Frölicher spaces II, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 64 (2010), 85-102.

[9] Nishimura, Hirokazu:Axiomatic differential geometry I;towards model categories of differential geometry, arXiv 1203.3911.

[10] Nishimura, Hirokazu:Axiomatic differential geometry II-1;its developments, chapter 1, vector fields, arXiv 1204.5230.

[11] Weil, André: Théorie des points proches sur les variétés différentiables, Colloques Internationaux du Centre National de la Reserche Scientifique, Strassbourg, pp.111-117, 1953.